

R. v. JACOB LEGAL OPINION RUBRIC

Criteria	With High Distinction (L4+)	With Distinction (L4)	Met Expectations (L3)	Pass (L2)	Fail (L1)
<p>Knowledge Understanding State the appropriate level of criminal responsibility that must be assigned to Jacob given the facts & circumstances (i.e., a detailed, descriptive sentence that is representative of the options in the <i>Canadian Sentencing Law</i> resource & the podcast <i>Life Jolt Episode 5 Inside & Indigenous</i>. Select and explain the theory & objectives of sentencing that provide the basis of your legal opinion.</p>	<p>The level of criminal responsibility and objectives of sentencing are <u>expertly</u> explained, justified, and linked to your chosen theory of crime.</p> <p>Tasks 1 to 5 <u>master</u> the criminal law concepts, key facts, precedent cases, and theory that demonstrate <u>deep</u> knowledge of the theories, the elements of the offence, the objectives of sentencing and case details. Product <u>consistently</u> exceeds expectations as outlined in project details.</p>	<p><u>Comprehensively</u> explained, justified, linked ...</p> <p>Tasks 1 to 5 <u>capture</u> ...</p> <p><u>Strong</u> knowledge ...</p> <p><u>Often</u> exceeds expectations ...</p>	<p><u>Adequately</u> explained, justified, linked ...</p> <p>Tasks 1 to 5 <u>cover</u> ...</p> <p><u>Adequate</u> knowledge ...</p> <p><u>Meets rather than</u> exceeds expectations ...</p>	<p><u>Begins to</u> explain, justify, link ...</p> <p>Tasks 1 to 5 <u>begin to cover</u> ...</p> <p><u>Some</u> knowledge ...</p> <p><u>Meets some rather than</u> exceeds expectations ...</p>	<p><u>Fails to</u> explain, justify, link ...</p> <p>Tasks 1 to 5 <u>fail to cover</u> ...</p> <p><u>Inadequate</u> knowledge ...</p> <p><u>Falls well below rather than</u> exceeds expectations ...</p>
<p>Thinking Support in specific detail why the theory chosen is best suited to the <i>Jacob</i> case by directly referencing the provided materials. Demonstrate critical and creative thinking in sentencing Jacob.</p>	<p>Explanation is accurate and comprehensive, and the opinion is <u>expertly</u> considered.</p> <p>Points made are <u>expertly</u> supported with explanation, specific case details, and the core concept of the theory of crime.</p>	<p><u>Comprehensively</u> considered ...</p> <p><u>Well</u> supported ...</p>	<p><u>Adequately</u> considered ...</p> <p><u>Adequately</u> supported ...</p>	<p><u>Somewhat</u> considered ...</p> <p><u>Somewhat</u> supported ...</p>	<p><u>Inadequately</u> considered ...</p> <p><u>Inadequately</u> supported ...</p>
<p>Communication Directly cite any sources used in supporting your legal opinion, including the <i>Criminal Code of Canada</i>, the <i>R. v. Jacob</i> FULL CASE, the theory materials & summaries, the <i>Canadian Sentencing Law</i> resource, and the podcast <i>Life Jolt Episode 5 Inside & Indigenous</i>.</p>	<p>Opinion is clear, concise, and <u>expertly</u> organized. The organization of writing <u>expertly</u> supports and reinforces arguments made. Proper writing conventions evident throughout.</p> <p>Supporting research sources directly cited throughout <u>every</u> task, including the opinion.</p>	<p><u>Comprehensively</u> organized ...</p> <p><u>Strongly</u> supports ...</p> <p><u>Most</u> tasks ...</p>	<p><u>Adequately</u> organized ...</p> <p><u>Adequately</u> supports ...</p> <p><u>Many</u> tasks ...</p>	<p><u>Somewhat</u> organized ...</p> <p><u>Somewhat</u> supports ...</p> <p><u>Some</u> tasks ...</p>	<p><u>Inadequately</u> organized ...</p> <p><u>Fails to</u> support ...</p> <p><u>No</u> task ...</p>
<p>Application First, second, & third points provide supporting and specific details on both the theory side and the facts side of this case. Elements of the AR + MR are applied to your opinion. Evidence of using assigned content (e.g., the podcast <i>Life Jolt Episode 5 Inside & Indigenous</i>).</p>	<p>Demonstrates application of specific supporting details that <u>expertly</u> reinforce the opinion.</p> <p>Includes <u>expert</u> linking betw. the chosen theory of crime, the elements of the offence, the objectives of sentencing, and case details to support task 1 to 5.</p>	<p><u>Strongly</u> reinforce ...</p> <p><u>Strong</u> linking ...</p>	<p><u>Adequately</u> reinforce ...</p> <p><u>Adequate</u> linking ...</p>	<p><u>Somewhat</u> reinforce ...</p> <p><u>Some</u> linking ...</p>	<p><u>Fail to</u> reinforce ...</p> <p><u>Inadequate</u> linking ...</p>

